



Department of
Agriculture and Food



Research Library

Experimental Summaries - Plant Research

1969

Cropping Investigations

M.L. Poole

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchlibrary.agric.wa.gov.au/rqmsplant>



Part of the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Poole, M.L. (1969), *Cropping Investigations*. Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia, Perth. Report.

This report is brought to you for free and open access by Research Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in Experimental Summaries - Plant Research by an authorized administrator of Research Library. For more information, please contact jennifer.heathcote@agric.wa.gov.au, sandra.papenfus@agric.wa.gov.au.

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

This document has been obtained from DAFWA's research library website (researchlibrary.agric.wa.gov.au) which hosts DAFWA's archival research publications. Although reasonable care was taken to make the information in the document accurate at the time it was first published, DAFWA does not make any representations or warranties about its accuracy, reliability, currency, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. It may be out of date, inaccurate or misleading or conflict with current laws, policies or practices. DAFWA has not reviewed or revised the information before making the document available from its research library website. Before using the information, you should carefully evaluate its accuracy, currency, completeness and relevance for your purposes. We recommend you also search for more recent information on DAFWA's research library website, DAFWA's main website (<https://www.agric.wa.gov.au>) and other appropriate websites and sources.

Information in, or referred to in, documents on DAFWA's research library website is not tailored to the circumstances of individual farms, people or businesses, and does not constitute legal, business, scientific, agricultural or farm management advice. We recommend before making any significant decisions, you obtain advice from appropriate professionals who have taken into account your individual circumstances and objectives.

The Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Agriculture and Food and the State of Western Australia and their employees and agents (collectively and individually referred to below as DAFWA) accept no liability whatsoever, by reason of negligence or otherwise, arising from any use or release of information in, or referred to in, this document, or any error, inaccuracy or omission in the information.

PLANT RESEARCH DIVISION

SUMMARIES OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS,
1969 GROWING SEASON

M.L. Poole

"CROPPING INVESTIGATIONS"

TIME OF PLANTING, RATE OF SEEDING, WHEAT OATS & BARLEY
 OLD LAND
 MT. BARKER RESEARCH STATION.

TREATMENT	REP 1	REP 2	REP 3	TOTAL	BU/AC
<u>JUNE PLANTING:</u>					
Darkan Wheat 50 lb/ac	85	92	99	276	43.6
Darkan Wheat 100 lb/ac	85	97	100	282	44.5
Dampier Barley 50 lb/ac	108	120	118	346	65.7
Dampier Barley 100 lb/ac	50	57	66	173	32.8
Swan Oats 80 lb/ac	126	119	141	386	91.8
Swan Oats 100 lb/ac	81	97	114	292	69.5
<u>JULY PLANTING:</u>					
Darkan Wheat 80 lb/ac	37	35	34	106	16.7
Darkan Wheat 100 lb/ac	33	35	34	102	16.1
Dampier Barley 50 lb/ac	64	67	62	193	36.7
Dampier Barley 100 lb/ac	71	71	66	208	39.5
Swan Oats 50 lb/ac	57	58	55	170	40.5
Swan Oats 100 lb/ac	65	62	62	189	44.9
<u>AUGUST PLANTING:</u>					
Darkan Wheat 50 lb/ac	28	28	25	81	12.8
Darkan Wheat 100 lb/ac	28	28	27	83	13.1
Dampier Barley 50 lb/ac	29	37	34	100	19.0
Dampier Barley 100 lb/ac	39	42	38	119	22.6
Swan Oats 50 lb/ac	35	39	37	111	26.4
Swan Oats 100 lb/ac	36	41	39	116	27.6
OLD LAND					
Time of planting	June		July		August
Cereal					
Darkan Wheat 50	43.6		16.7		12.8
Darkan Wheat 100	44.5		16.1		13.1
Dampier Barley 50	65.7		36.7		19.0
Dampier Barley 100	32.8		39.5		22.6
Swan Oats 50	91.8		40.5		26.4
Swan Oats 100	69.5		44.9		27.6

BARLEY:

High seeding rate of July planting lodged badly.

OATS:

All plots of June planting 100% lodged.

History + Methods: Well drained gravelly loam. At least 3 yrs good clover pasture & several su. dressings (>500 lb/ac). Area topdressed with Mn-su 180 lb/ac. Cu, Zn, Mo before seeding; sown with 180lb/ac plain su. Urea 300lb/ac T-D immediately after seeding. Barley severely affected by powdery mildew in vegetative stage.

TIME OF PLANTING, RATE OF SEEDING WHEAT, OATS & BARLEY
NEW LAND
MT. BARKER RESEARCH STATION.

TREATMENT	REP 1	REP 2	REP 3	TOTAL	BU/AC
<u>JUNE PLANTED:</u>					
Darkan wheat 50 lb/ac	102	108	109	319	50.4
Darkan wheat 100 lb/ac	95	87	100	282	44.5
Dampier barley 50 lb/ac	75	72	82	229	43.5
Dampier barley 100 lb/ac	71	74	77	222	42.2
Swan oats 50 lb/ac	57	57	50	164	39.0
Swan oats 100 lb/ac	46	37	40	123	29.3
<u>JUNE PLANTED:</u>					
Darkan wheat 50 lb/ac	88	100	90	278	43.9
Darkan wheat 100 lb/ac	89	88	94	271	42.8
Dampier barley 50 lb/ac	75	76	78	229	43.5
Dampier barley 100 lb/ac	73	74	74	221	42.2
Swan oats 50 lb/ac	76	81	72	229	54.5
Swan oats 100 lb/ac	72	66	63	201	47.8
<u>JULY PLANTED:</u>					
Darkan wheat 50 lb/ac	42	40	33	115	18.2
Darkan wheat 100 lb/ac	52	52	-MP	(104)	24.6
Dampier barley 50 lb/ac	43	48	44	135	25.7
Dampier barley 100 lb/ac	56	56	49	161	30.6
Swan oats 50 lb/ac	44	47	45	136	32.4
Swan oats 100 lb/ac	48	49	MP	(97)	33.3
NEW LAND					
Time of planting	May		June	July	
Cereal					
Darkan wheat 50	50.4		43.9	18.2	
Darkan wheat 100	44.5		42.8	24.6	
Dampier barley 50	43.5		43.5	25.7	
Dampier barley 100	42.2		42.2	30.6	
Swan oats 50	39.0		54.5	32.4	
Swan oats 100	29.3		47.8	33.3	

MAY PLANTED OATS:

- (a) 20 - 25% shedding
50 lb/ac seeding-rate 60% lodged
100 lb/ac seeding-rate 80% lodged

JUNE PLANTED OATS:

- (a) 50 lb/ac seeding-rate 10% lodged
100 lb/ac seeding-rate 20% lodged

History + Methods: Chained and burnt 1968. Ploughed prior to seeding.

Topdressed Mn, Cu, Zn, Mo superphosphate 180 lb/ac prior to seeding. Seeded with 180 lb/ac plain su. Topdressed 300 lb/ac urea after seeding. Barley severely affected by powdery mildew in vegetative stage.

69 MT 26

RATE OF SEEDINGS (2) X ROW SPACING (3) X TIME OF PLANTING (3)
ON POLESTAR SUNFLOWERS

Locality: Mt. Barker Research Station
 Site: Gravelly loam, old clover land
 Design: Seeding rate (2) x row spacing (3) factorial
 with 3 reps. Three times of planting.

Hand Harvested:

Seeding rate & spacing \ Time of Planting	June	August	October
7" rows @ 6 lb/ac	687	460	257
7" " " 12 "	762	288	256
21" " " 6 "	482	293	169
21" " " 12 "	452	160	155
42" " " 6 "	299	208	136
42" " " 12 "	338	169	112

Comment:

Early planting at 7" row spacing and 6-12 lb/ac gave best results.

No difficulties were encountered in growing the crop. Spraying for red-legged earthmite and cutworm appeared essential. No disease problems. Harvesting with machine very difficult despite fact that special "tray" fingers were fitted.

History + Methods:

Old clover land which had received more than 500 lb/ac superphosphate.

Topdressed 360 lb/ac Cu, Zn, Mo superphosphate No. 2 prior to seeding of each time of seeding. Urea 300 lb/ac. Topdressed prior to seeding each time of seeding.

69WH14

RATES OF SEEDING (5) X RATE OF NITROGEN (5) ON FALCON AND PITIC
WHEATS

Location: Wongan Hills Research Station

Site: Wongan loamy sand. Second crop on old clover
land

Measurements: (1) Germination counts
(2) Tiller counts
(3) Head counts
(4) Total dry weight, straw weight, head
weight
(5) Grain yield

Design: Randomized block of varieties x seeding rate
treatments with 3 reps. These plots split in
five for N (urea) treatments.

1. Germination counts. Assumed that surface applied urea
would not affect germination. Counted 3 ft of row from
10 inside rows of seeding rates x varieties.

Seeding Rate	Falcon	Pitic
20	71	72*
40	139	130
60	216	191
80	241	211
120	354	310

* Figures are No. of plants/90' of row.

ADV No significant differences between
varieties.

2. Tiller counts. 2 ft x 8 rows/sub plot.

FALCON

Rate of seeding lb/ac \ Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	440*	665	726	880	1016	3727
50	495	753	843	1008	1298	4397
100	502	860	816	1075	1328	4581
200	591	886	1063	1087	1308	4935
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	624	973	1035	1188	1531	5351
Total	2652	4137	4483	5238	6481	22991

* Figures are No's of tillers in 48 ft. of row

PITIC

Rate of seeding lb/ac \ Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	390	536	660	812	1004	3402
50	569	721	846	923	1107	4166
100	543	711	782	994	1092	4122
200	529	786	924	886	1406	4531
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	714	822	967	1049	1352	4904
Total	2745	3576	4179	4664	5961	21125

ADV Variance due to

Reps
variety
rates of seed
V x R
Rate of N
V x N
R x N
V x N x R

VR

*
N.S.

NS

NS
NS
NS

3. Head counts. 2 ft x 8 rows/sub plot.

FALCON

Rate of seeding lb/ac \ Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	417	327	490	498	594	2326
50	366	453	414	504	652	2389
100	368	435	495	555	671	2524
200	438	473	535	532	668	2646
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	445	532	537	585	681	2780
Total	2034	2220	2471	2674	3266	12665

PITIC

Rate of seeding lb/ac \ Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	216	307	386	414	438	1761
50	244	347	488	418	462	1959
100	242	305	431	490	403	1871
200	301	421	418	539	441	2120
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	314	353	395	469	434	1965
Total	1317	1733	2118	2330	2178	9676

<u>ADV</u>	<u>Variance due to</u>	<u>VR</u>
	Reps	*
	variety	***
	rate of seed	***
	VxR	*
	rate of N	***
	V x N	NS
	R x N	NS
	V x N x R	NS

4. Total plant weight. 2 ft x 8 rows/sub plot.

FALCON

Rate of seeding lb/ac Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	3303	2920	2275	2882	2961	14341
50	2947	2634	2588	2603	2540	13312
100	2584	3028	2976	3073	2440	14101
200	3018	3370	2662	2674	2574	14298
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	3188	2980	2545	2588	2251	13552
Total	15040	14932	13046	13820	12766	69604

PITIC

Rate of seeding lb/ac Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	1568	2294	2225	2225	2674	10986
50	1553	2103	2182	2165	2700	10703
100	1716	2019	2184	2536	2385	10840
200	1824	2163	1799	2502	2292	10580
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	1766	2086	2471	2282	2211	10816
Total	8427	10665	10861	11710	12262	53925

These samples divided into heads and straw to give following results and then heads threshed to give grain yield.

<u>ADV</u>	<u>Variance due to</u>	<u>V.R.</u>
	Reps	4.18
	Variety (v)	38.75***
	rate of seed (R)	<1 n.s.
	V x R	4.64**
	rate of N	<1 n.s.
	V x N	<1 n.s.
	R x N	<1 n.s.
	V x N x R	<1 n.s.

5. Straw weight 2ft x 8 rows/sub plot (7" rows)

PITIC

Seeding rate lb/ac Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	266	400	422	401	521	2010
50	332	397	404	400	546	2079
100	288	343	395	480	427	1933
200	342	420	301	485	435	1983
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	300	372	469	435	412	1988
Total	1528	1932	1991	2201	2341	

FALCON

Seeding rate lb/ac Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	655	547	410	591	622	2825
50	525	508	514	524	548	2619
100	491	618	524	621	504	2758
200	578	705	529	557	518	2887
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	625	596	493	485	483	2682
Total	2874	2974	2470	2778	2675	

<u>ADV</u>	<u>Variance due to</u>	<u>V.R.</u>
	Reps	7.02**
	Variety (v)	42.35***
	rate of seed (R)	1.43 n.s.
	V x R	2.91 n.s.
	Rate of N	<1 n.s.
	V x N	<1 n.s.
	R x N	<1 n.s.
	V x N x R	<1 n.s.

6. Head weight 2 ft x 8 rows (7")/sub plot

FALCON

Seeding rate lb/ac Urea rate lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	727	675	542	615	617	3176
50	708	594	569	565	515	2951
100	590	649	721	665	517	3142
200	685	705	585	562	559	3096
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	709	651	572	581	459	2972
Total	3419	3274	2989	2988	2667	

PITIC

Seeding rate lb/ac Urea rate lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	390	560	509	530	598	2587
50	363	483	509	506	669	2470
100	430	502	519	581	571	2603
200	421	485	452	562	524	2444
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	439	501	565	520	513	2538
Total	2043	2531	2554	2699	2815	

ADVVariance due toV.R.

Reps	2.03 n.s.
Variety (V)	22.25***
Seeding rate (R)	<1 n.s.
V x R	4.93**
Rate of N	<1 n.s.
V x N	<1 n.s.
R x N	<1 n.s.
V x N x R	<1 n.s.

7. Grain yield

		FALCON					bu/ac
Seeding rate lb/ac	Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0		31.6	30.2	24.1	26.5	27.1	139.5
50		31.4	23.9	24.6	24.7	22.5	127.1
100		25.9	28.8	32.6	29.0	22.3	138.6
200		29.4	30.5	25.6	24.4	24.6	134.5
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)		31.2	28.5	25.5	25.3	20.2	130.7
Total		149.5	141.9	132.4	129.9	116.7	670.4

		PITIC					bu/ac
Seeding rate lb/ac	Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0		16.9	24.6	21.1	22.2	25.8	110.6
50		18.9	20.9	22.0	21.3	25.6	108.7
100		19.0	22.2	22.0	24.6	24.0	111.8
200		18.3	19.6	18.9	23.8	22.6	103.2
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)		19.0	21.3	24.1	21.7	21.7	107.8
Total		92.1	108.6	108.1	113.6	119.7	542.1

Summary:

1. No differences between Pitic and Falcon in establishment density.
2. Tiller counts/unit area positive: Large response to seeding rate and nitrogen rate. No interactions. No differences between varieties.
3. Head counts/unit area: Falcon substantially more heads than Pitic. Positive response to rate of seeding, rate of N. Large variety x seeding rate interaction.
4. Plant weight at harvest/unit area: Falcon produced more dry matter/unit area than Pitic particularly at lower seeding rates. Positive response to seeding rate.
5. Head weight/unit area: Falcon substantially greater than Pitic, particularly at lower seeding rates.
6. Straw weight/unit area: Falcon greater than Pitic particularly at lower seeding rates.
7. Grain yield/unit area: Falcon substantially greater than Pitic, particularly at lower seeding rate.

Conclusion:

In this environment Falcon showed a greater ability than Pitic to form heads from an equal number of tillers/unit area, particularly at low seeding rates - i.e., lower numbers of tillers/unit area. Falcon produced a much greater weight of grain.

These results have still to be broken down into single plant components. i.e. tillers/plant, heads/plant, grains/head, grain size etc.

69MT13

RATES OF SEEDING (5) X RATES OF NITROGEN (5) ON FALCON AND PITIC
WHEATS

Location: Mt. Barker Research Station

Site: Gravelly loam. Old clover land cropped to
oats 1968 (failed) - sprayed with paraquat/
obquat, fallowed.

Measurements: (1) Germination counts
(2) Tiller counts
(3) Head counts
(4) Head weight
(5) Grain yield

Total plant weight not measured because of
severe lodging.

Design: Randomized block of varieties (2) x seeding
rate (5) with 3 reps. These plots split into
five for N (urea) treatments.

1. Germination counts. Assumed that surface applied urea
would not affect germination. Counted 2 ft of rwo from
10 inside rows of seeding rates x varieties.

Seeding Rate	Falcon	Pitic
20	57	49
40	93	112
60	169	137
80	172	161
120	246	223

ADV
N.S. differences

2. Tiller counts. 2 ft x 8 rows/sub plot

FALCON

Rate of seeding lb/ac \ Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	515*	906	1271	1135	1144	4971
50	529	839	1007	1108	1105	4588
100	561	803	1068	1042	1141	4615
200	594	892	928	856	1080	4350
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	565	792	1057	932	1063	4409
Total	2764	4232	5331	5073	5533	22933

PITIC

Rate of seeding lb/ac \ Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	540	678	1018	895	1140	4271
50	567	728	977	939	1046	4257
100	487	731	884	1050	966	4118
200	498	797	1003	939	979	4216
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	540	767	919	952	1105	4283
Total	2632	3701	4801	4775	5236	21145

* Figures are number of tillers in 48 ft of row.

3. Head counts. 2 ft x 8 rows/sub plot

FALCON

Rate of seeding lb/ac Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	451*	491	727	627	783	3079
50	448	685	801	730	868	3532
100	421	643	822	696	787	3369
200	506	616	704	729	714	3269
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	418	587	888	597	760	3250
Total	2244	3022	3942	3379	3912	16499

PITIC

Rate of seeding lb/ac Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	426	561	647	592	627	2853
50	478	613	656	563	621	2931
100	484	496	633	733	632	2978
200	369	485	534	630	581	2602
200 x 3 (5 wkly intervals)	460	546	599	619	599	2823
Total	2217	2704	3069	3137	3060	14187

* Figures are heads/48 ft of row.

4. Head weights. (figures are weight of 300 heads)

FALCON

Rate of seeding lb/ac \ Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	816	637	595	576	642	3266
50	791	646	563	633	609	3242
100	705	699	657	468	613	3138
200	770	651	569	620	604	3214
200 x 3	845	582	615	617	502	3161
Total	3927	3215	2995	2914	2970	16021

PITIC

Rate of seeding lb/ac \ Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
0	754	713	584	723	626	3400
50	881	669	707	565	585	3407
100	819	728	657	712	642	3558
200	788	734	635	680	594	3431
200 x 3	860	752	731	675	588	3606
Total	4102	3596	3314	3355	3035	17402

5. Grain yield. derived from heads/28 ft and Grain weight/
300 heads.

		FALCON					bu/ac
Rate of seeding lb/ac	Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
		0	52	43	62	50	71
50	50	62	59	56	74	301	
100	41	63	71	60	69	304	
200	52	54	57	63	60	286	
200 x 3	46	53	76	49	63	287	
Total		241	275	325	278	337	1456

		PITIC					
Rate of seeding lb/ac	Rate of Urea lb/ac	20	40	60	80	120	Total
		0	44	56	49	59	57
50	55	56	61	43	51	265	
100	55	49	57	76	57	294	
200	38	49	46	59	47	239	
200 x 3	50	54	59	55	48	266	
Total		242	264	272	292	260	1330

Comment: (subject to Biometrical analysis)

1. Establishment density of Falcon and Pitic the same.
2. No obvious response to nitrogen. Apparently the killed off oatcrop in 1968 did not deplete soil nitrogen to any great extent. Can assume from the results the nitrogen supply was optimal.
3. Tiller counts. Very large response to seeding rate, up to highest rate no obvious differences between varieties.
4. Head counts. Large response to seeding rate up to highest rate, Falcon slightly greater number than Pitic.
5. Head weights. Pitic slightly greater weights than Falcon. Negative response to seeding rate.
6. Grain yield. Above 40 lb/ac no further obvious response to seeding rate by either variety. Falcon may be slightly superior to Pitic for yield, but probably not significant.

**

Conclusion: The Mexican semi-dwarf wheat varieties have performed very well under high fertility conditions overseas. There is considerable evidence that they have greater potential for yield than the Australia spring varieties because of greater number of flowers set per spikelet.

In this trial Falcon and Pitic were grown under almost optimal conditions for dryland wheat growing in Western Australia. The trial was planted early (21st May) into a completely weed free seedbed. Nutrition was near optimal. Seasonal conditions were near perfect. Grain yields were extremely high for Western Australia.

Pitics reputed superior potential for yield/unit area was not expressed under these conditions, although it is unlikely that they would be expressed under less optimal conditions. In addition Pitic lodged much more severely than Falcon in this trial. The Mexican semi-dwarfs have notoriously poor protein levels and dough characteristics.

This trial suggests that the Mexican semi-dwarf wheat varieties are unlikely to be superior to existing Australian varieties in Western Australia.

- ** 7. Grain size. 1000 grain weights for each treatment were taken. No differences between seeding rates or urea. Mean Falcon 121.3 gm/1000 grains; Pitic 117.1 gm/1000 grains. i.e. Falcon fractionally heavier/larger.