



Department of
Agriculture and Food



Research Library

Experimental Summaries - Plant Research

Research Publications

1982

Seed Ecology of weeds. Control of Calotropis and Parkinsonia. Calotropis/Buffel grass Interactions.

A.H. Cheam

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchlibrary.agric.wa.gov.au/rqmsplant>



Part of the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#), [Soil Science Commons](#), and the [Weed Science Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Cheam, A.H. (1982), *Seed Ecology of weeds. Control of Calotropis and Parkinsonia. Calotropis/Buffel grass Interactions.*. Department of Agriculture and Food, Western Australia, Perth. Report.

This report is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Publications at Research Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in Experimental Summaries - Plant Research by an authorized administrator of Research Library. For more information, please contact jennifer.heathcote@agric.wa.gov.au, sandra.papenfus@agric.wa.gov.au.

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

This document has been obtained from DAFWA's research library website (researchlibrary.agric.wa.gov.au) which hosts DAFWA's archival research publications. Although reasonable care was taken to make the information in the document accurate at the time it was first published, DAFWA does not make any representations or warranties about its accuracy, reliability, currency, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose. It may be out of date, inaccurate or misleading or conflict with current laws, policies or practices. DAFWA has not reviewed or revised the information before making the document available from its research library website. Before using the information, you should carefully evaluate its accuracy, currency, completeness and relevance for your purposes. We recommend you also search for more recent information on DAFWA's research library website, DAFWA's main website (<https://www.agric.wa.gov.au>) and other appropriate websites and sources.

Information in, or referred to in, documents on DAFWA's research library website is not tailored to the circumstances of individual farms, people or businesses, and does not constitute legal, business, scientific, agricultural or farm management advice. We recommend before making any significant decisions, you obtain advice from appropriate professionals who have taken into account your individual circumstances and objectives.

The Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Agriculture and Food and the State of Western Australia and their employees and agents (collectively and individually referred to below as DAFWA) accept no liability whatsoever, by reason of negligence or otherwise, arising from any use or release of information in, or referred to in, this document, or any error, inaccuracy or omission in the information.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

EXPERIMENTAL SUMMARY 1982

- . Seed Ecology of Weeds
- . Control of Calotropis and Parkinsonia
- . Calotropis/Buffel grass Interactions

A.H. Cheam
Weed Agronomy Branch
Plant Research Division

CONTENTS

1. Emergence of weed seedlings from different soil depths
82 MT 49 : Mt Barker Research Station
82 NR 12 : Northam Research Station
82 C 37 : Chapman Research Station
2. Longevity of buried weed seeds
82 MT 50 : Mt Barker Research Station
82 NR 13 : Northam Research Station
82 C 38 : Chapman Research Station
3. Emergence of weed seedlings in relation to soil type and cultivation
4. Date of planting study with selected weed species
5. Chemical control of Calotropis
Open infestation : 81 KU 2 : Carlton Station, Kununurra
Dense infestation : 81 KU 3 : Carlton Station, Kununurra
6. Chemical control of Parkinsonia
Open infestation : 81 PH 2 : Degrey Station, P. Hedland
Dense infestation : 81 PH 3 : Degrey Station, P. Hedland
7. Calotropis/Buffel grass interactions

EMERGENCE OF WEED SEEDLINGS FROM DIFFERENT SOIL DEPTHS

EXPERIMENT: 82 MT 49

LOCATION: Mount Barker Research Station

OBJECT: To investigate the effect of soil depth on emergence of weed seedlings.

TREATMENTS:

Seeds of Curled dock (A - 1982 collection), Fiddle dock (B - 1982 collection), Pennyroyal (C - 1982 collection), Wild radish (D - 1978 collection), Wild turnip (E - 1978 collection), Ryegrass (F - 1982 collection), Brome grass (G - 1978 collection), Doublegee (H - 1982 collection), Ryegrass (I - 1978 collection) and Pennyroyal (J - 1981 collection) were used in this experiment. The depths of seed burial were: 0, 1, 5, 10 and 15 cm. The seeds were buried in May 1982 and seedling emergence was recorded at monthly intervals. The experiment is designed to run for several years.

RESULTS:

| Species /Depth (cm) | Emergence % one month after sowing | | | | | | | | | | Depth Means |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | |
| 0 | 5.8 * (11.71) | 2.3 (7.16) | 6.3 (13.94) | 14.3 (20.85) | 3.5 (7.65) | 40.3 (39.36) | 65.5 (54.21) | 1.0 (4.07) | 42.0 (40.34) | 4.5 (11.40) | 18.6 (21.07) |
| 1 | 0.5 (2.03) | 22.5 (27.87) | 0.3 (1.43) | 55.8 (48.34) | 2.3 (7.41) | 59.8 (50.67) | 89.8 (71.78) | 19.2 (25.84) | 75.5 (60.72) | 0 (0) | 32.6 (29.61) |
| 5 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 4.0 (9.37) | 0 (0) | 35.8 (36.62) | 61.3 (51.67) | 4.8 (11.67) | 51.8 (45.89) | 0 (0) | 15.8 (15.52) |
| 10 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 3.8 (9.41) | 12.3 (14.81) | 0.3 (1.43) | 10.0 (17.24) | 0 (0) | 2.6 (4.29) |
| 15 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Species means | 1.3 (2.75) | 5.0 (7.01) | 1.3 (3.08) | 14.8 (15.71) | 1.2 (3.01) | 27.9 (27.21) | 45.8 (38.49) | 5.1 (8.60) | 35.9 (32.84) | 0.9 (2.28) | |

LSD, 5% : Depth = 3.99; species = 3.22; depth x species at the same depth = 7.20; depth x species at different depths = 7.73.

* Transformed (inverse sine) values given in degrees used for statistical analysis are shown in brackets.

COMMENTS:

This research sheds light on the quantity of the seed population that emerges from various depths and also reveals the periodicity of emergence of the weed species under investigation. Generally, more seedlings emerged from the shallow depths and the main flush of emergence occurred between May 18 to June 15, the first one month period after seed burial.

The seedling emergence data recorded one month after sowing are presented in this report. Seedlings of curled dock, fiddle dock, pennyroyal and turnip emerged from the 0 and 1 cm depths only but 4% of the radish seedlings emerged from the 5 cm depth and none from the 10 and 15 cm depths. Ryegrass, brome grass and doublegee emerged from even the 10 cm depth but no emergence occurred from 15 cm. Maximum emergence was from 1 cm depth. This could be attributed to the fact that conditions are more favourable just below the soil surface than on the surface. However, pennyroyal has a light requirement for germination and this explains its preference to germinate on the soil surface. The environmental requirements for germination of pennyroyal and the other species are currently being sought to provide a better understanding of the germination and emergence behaviour of the species under field situations.

EMERGENCE OF WEED SEEDLINGS FROM DIFFERENT SOIL DEPTHS

EXPERIMENT: 82 NR 12

LOCATION: Northam Research Station

OBJECT: To investigate the effect of soil depth on emergence of weed seedlings.

TREATMENTS:

As for Experiment 82 MT 49. A = curled dock, B = Fiddle dock, C = Pennyroyal 1982 collection, D = Wild radish, E = Wild turnip, F = Ryegrass 1982 collection, G = Brome grass, H = Doublegee, I = Ryegrass 1978 collection, J = Pennyroyal 1981 collection.

RESULTS:

| Species /Depth (cm) | Emergence % one month after sowing | | | | | | | | | | Depth Means |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | |
| 0 | 20.0 * (25.62) | 9.3 (16.86) | 3.0 (8.36) | 9.3 (15.23) | 9.0 (14.06) | 35.3 (36.29) | 56.3 (48.60) | 2.5 (8.45) | 39.8 (39.03) | 3.8 (10.96) | 18.8 (22.35) |
| 1 | 32.3 (34.19) | 34.0 (35.49) | 0.5 (2.03) | 62.0 (52.05) | 7.0 (14.62) | 64.3 (53.30) | 86.0 (68.55) | 23.8 (29.08) | 75.3 (60.27) | 0.8 (2.49) | 38.6 (35.21) |
| 5 | 0.8 (3.47) | 4.0 (7.78) | 0 (0) | 14.5 (18.20) | 0 (0) | 41.3 (39.88) | 64.3 (53.45) | 2.3 (15.93) | 61.0 (51.59) | 0 (0) | 19.4 (19.03) |
| 10 | 0.3 (1.43) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 3.8 (7.94) | 35.5 (36.48) | 0.3 (1.43) | 10.0 (18.21) | 0 (0) | 5.0 (6.55) |
| 15 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 5.5 (6.99) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0.6 (0.70) |
| Species means | 10.7 (12.94) | 9.5 (12.03) | 0.7 (2.08) | 17.2 (17.10) | 3.2 (5.74) | 28.9 (27.48) | 49.5 (42.81) | 7.0 (10.98) | 37.2 (33.82) | 0.9 (2.69) | |

LSD, 5% : Depth = 2.16; species = 3.74; depth x species at the same depth = 8.36; depth x species at different depths = 8.17.

* Transformed (inverse sine) values given in degrees used for statistical analysis are shown in brackets.

COMMENTS:

The results are very similar to those obtained from the Mt Barker site (Experiment 82 MT 49), namely, that seedling emergence is inversely related to the depth of seed burial and that maximum emergence was from 1 cm depth for the majority of species. The only species capable of emerging from 15 cm depth was brome grass, but the seedlings appeared unhealthy. Both the curled and the fiddle dock showed a distinctly higher emergence at Northam than at Mt Barker.

EMERGENCE OF WEED SEEDLINGS FROM DIFFERENT SOIL DEPTHS

EXPERIMENT: 82 C 37

LOCATION: Chapman Research Station

OBJECT: To investigate the effect of soil depth on emergence of weed seedlings.

TREATMENTS:

As for Experiment 82 MT 49. A = Curled dock, B = Fiddle dock, C = Pennyroyal 1982 collection, D = Wild radish, E = Wild turnip, F = Ryegrass 1982 collection, G = Brome grass, H = Doublegee, I = Ryegrass 1978 collection, J = Pennyroyal 1981 collection.

RESULTS:

| Species /Depth (cm) | Emergence % one month after sowing | | | | | | | | | | Depth Means |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | |
| 0 | 32.8 * (32.74) | 5.3 (11.45) | 42.8 (40.74) | 35.0 (36.22) | 19.3 (25.84) | 61.0 (51.39) | 74.0 (59.37) | 13.5 (21.50) | 62.0 (52.22) | 11.8 (19.98) | 35.8 (35.15) |
| 1 | 17.8 (23.95) | 15.8 (22.31) | 1.0 (2.88) | 29.0 (28.15) | 0 (0) | 72.0 (58.14) | 74.0 (59.38) | 26.0 (30.62) | 72.3 (58.53) | 0 (0) | 30.8 (28.40) |
| 5 | 0 (0) | 1.8 (3.84) | 0 (0) | 2.0 (5.77) | 0.5 (2.03) | 37.5 (37.54) | 54.0 (47.34) | 7.8 (15.93) | 53.5 (47.04) | 0 (0) | 15.7 (15.95) |
| 10 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 7.3 (12.68) | 11.8 (16.84) | 1.5 (5.96) | 9.3 (14.89) | 0 (0) | 3.0 (5.04) |
| 15 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1.0 (2.88) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0.1 (0.29) |
| Species means | 10.1 (11.34) | 4.6 (7.52) | 8.8 (8.73) | 13.2 (14.03) | 4.0 (5.57) | 35.6 (31.95) | 43.0 (37.16) | 9.8 (14.80) | 39.4 (34.54) | 2.4 (4.00) | |

LSD, 5% : Depth = 3.02; species = 4.06; depth x species at the same depth = 9.08; depth x species at different depths = 9.04.

* Transformed (inverse sine) values given in degrees used for statistical analysis are shown in brackets.

COMMENTS:

Although the warmer temperatures of Chapman Valley were presumably responsible for a higher level of seedling emergence in some species, such as in pennyroyal, the overall ability of the different species to emerge from different soil depths was very similar to the trend observed at the other two sites, viz., Northam and Mt Barker (see Experiments 82 NR 12 and 82 MT 49).

It appears that weeds with small seeds can only emerge from shallow soil layers while large-seeded ones can germinate from greater depths if conditions are suitable. Apart from this consideration there are probably several other factors governing the range of depths of seed germination of a particular species. For example, it has been suggested that although light may play an important part in restricting germination of buried seeds, the ability to respond to a particular amplitude of temperature fluctuation in darkness is the main depth-sensing mechanism in seeds of species forming persistent seed banks. Results of this and similar studies have practical implications for depth of cultivation and herbicide placement for weed control.

LONGEVITY OF BURIED WEED SEEDS

EXPERIMENT: 82 MT 50

LOCATION: Mount Barker Research Station

OBJECT: To investigate the effect of depth of seed burial on the longevity of weed seeds.

TREATMENTS:

Seeds of Curled dock (A - 1982 collection), Fiddle dock (B - 1982 collection), Pennyroyal (C - 1982 collection), Wild radish (D - 1978 collection), Wild turnip (E - 1978 collection), Ryegrass (F - 1982 collection), Brome grass (G - 1978 collection), Doublegee (H - 1982 collection) and Ryegrass (I - 1978 collection) were buried on May 19, 1982. Buried seed samples consisted of randomly-selected lots of 100 seeds for each species placed in closed nylon mesh envelopes with a small quantity of soil. The depths of seed burial were: 0, 1, 5, 10 and 15 cm. At 3, 6, 12, 24, 36 and 48 months after burial the appropriate envelopes are to be recovered from the soil and remnant seeds tested for viability by the germination test and the tetrazolium chloride method. Results on the percentage of viable seed remaining after burial for three months have been obtained and are presented below.

RESULTS:

| Species/ Depth (cm) | % live seed remaining three months after burial | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
| 0 | 51.0 | 3.0 | 23.3 | 1.7 | 76.7 | 29.3 | 0.7 | 21.7 | 14.7 |
| 1 | 86.3 | 9.0 | 74.3 | 3.0 | 90.3 | 21.3 | 0 | 11.7 | 9.3 |
| 5 | 94.7 | 26.7 | 76.3 | 23.7 | 87.7 | 39.3 | 0 | 5.7 | 10.7 |
| 10 | 87.3 | 28.7 | 76.0 | 41.0 | 90.7 | 53.3 | 0 | 7.3 | 18.3 |
| 15 | 88.7 | 26.0 | 58.0 | 38.3 | 79.7 | 54.0 | 0 | 26.0 | 32.7 |

COMMENTS:

More results from this trial are yet to arrive before one can make any substantial comment with regard to the decline in the number of viable seeds with time. Results to date confirm that generally there is a trend to greater retention of viability with increasing burial depth; this trend was particularly obvious in the docks, radish and ryegrass seeds. The decrease in the upper levels of the soil was mainly due to germination. Therefore, when non-dormant seeds remained on or near the soil surface, the seed pool would be depleted more rapidly. Brome grass, once it has lost its dormancy should be easy to control because of its ability to germinate even at 15 cm depth. Out of the two different collections of ryegrass seeds, a greater retention of viability was observed in the fresh collection (F).

LONGEVITY OF BURIED WEED SEEDS

EXPERIMENT: 82 NR 13

LOCATION: Northam Research Station

OBJECT: To investigate the effect of depth of seed burial on the longevity of weed seeds.

TREATMENTS:

Treatments as for Experiment 82 MT 50, except that the seeds were buried one week later on May 26, 1982. A = Curled dock, B = Fiddle dock, C = Pennyroyal 1982 collection, D = Wild radish, E = Wild turnip, F = Ryegrass 1982 collection, G = Brome grass, H = Doublegee, I = Ryegrass 1978 collection.

RESULTS:

| Species/ Depth (cm) | % live seed remaining three months after burial | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|------|---|------|------|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
| 0 | 69.3 | 4.0 | 51.7 | 2.3 | 74.0 | 48.7 | 0 | 24.3 | 26.3 |
| 1 | 84.7 | 1.0 | 64.0 | 9.7 | 85.0 | 19.3 | 0 | 21.3 | 8.3 |
| 5 | 95.0 | 3.7 | 78.0 | 24.7 | 88.7 | 29.7 | 0 | 20.0 | 12.0 |
| 10 | 98.3 | 16.0 | 76.0 | 33.7 | 86.7 | 38.3 | 0 | 26.3 | 17.7 |
| 15 | 97.3 | 16.7 | 74.0 | 43.0 | 88.7 | 42.3 | 0 | 28.0 | 22.0 |

COMMENTS:

The greater retention of viability under increasing burial depth agrees with the findings at Mt Barker and Chapman Valley. At any given depth, the proportion of live seed remaining varies greatly between different weed species. Brome grass seeds collected in 1978 had obviously lost its dormancy, germinated at all depths and not a single viable seed was recovered. Again, of the two collections of ryegrass seeds, a greater retention of viability was observed in the fresh collection (F).

LONGEVITY OF BURIED WEED SEEDS

EXPERIMENT: 82 C 38

LOCATION: Chapman Research Station

OBJECT: To investigate the effect of depth of seed burial on the longevity of weed seeds.

TREATMENTS:

Treatments as for Experiment 82 MT 50, except that the seeds were buried fifteen days later on June 10, 1982. A = Curled dock, B = Fiddle dock, C = Pennyroyal 1982 collection, D = Wild radish, E = Wild turnip, F = Ryegrass 1982 collection, G = Brome grass, H = Doublegee, I = Ryegrass 1978 collection.

RESULTS:

| Species/ Depth (cm) | % live seed remaining three months after burial | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
| 0 | 79.3 | 19.3 | 41.3 | 7.3 | 62.7 | 57.3 | 3.7 | 21.7 | 45.0 |
| 1 | 68.3 | 1.3 | 87.7 | 0.3 | 73.7 | 12.7 | 0 | 7.0 | 5.0 |
| 5 | 86.7 | 3.0 | 86.0 | 5.7 | 82.0 | 17.7 | 0 | 5.3 | 7.3 |
| 10 | 95.7 | 2.0 | 87.3 | 11.0 | 77.0 | 23.7 | 0 | 4.0 | 11.0 |
| 15 | 97.0 | 7.0 | 88.7 | 18.7 | 73.0 | 24.0 | 0 | 7.3 | 15.3 |

COMMENTS:

The decline in the number of viable seeds over a period of three months at different soil depths followed a similar trend as observed at Mt Barker and Northam. However, in some species such as fiddle dock, radish, ryegrass and doublegee, a more rapid loss of viability in the buried seeds was noted. This more rapid decline was probably due to the more conducive environmental conditions at Chapman. A further loss in dormancy could be another contributory factor. Data on brome grass viability in soil again suggest that this weed should be easy to eradicate once it has lost its dormancy.

EMERGENCE OF WEED SEEDLINGS IN RELATION TO SOIL TYPE AND CULTIVATION

EXPERIMENT: 82 PE 32

LOCATION: South Perth Experimental Farm

OBJECT: To determine the effects of soil type and cultivation on the emergence and longevity of weed seeds.

TREATMENTS:

Seeds of eight weed species were mixed with soil collected from Wongan Hills (light soil), Northam (medium soil), and Merredin (heavy soil) to a depth of 10 cm and seedling emergence and seed survival are to be recorded over a 5 year period with and without cultivation. The species examined included Curled dock, Fiddle dock, Pennyroyal, Wild radish, Wild turnip, Ryegrass, Brome grass and Doublegee. This summary reports the results of the first year of the long-term study.

RESULTS:

| Species | Soil type | Surface treatment | Emergence % at monthly intervals | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | 1 (Ju) | 2 (Jly) | 3 (Aug) | 4 (Sep) | 5 (Oct) | 6 (Nov) |
| Curled dock | Light | Undisturbed | 8.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 22.5 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Medium | Undisturbed | 21.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 30.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Heavy | Undisturbed | 19.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 26.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Fiddle dock | Light | Undisturbed | 6.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 10.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Medium | Undisturbed | 9.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 14.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Heavy | Undisturbed | 17.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 8.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 |
| Pennyroyal | Light | Undisturbed | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Medium | Undisturbed | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 0.9 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Heavy | Undisturbed | 1.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |

RESULTS continued ...

| Species | Soil type | Surface treatment | Emergence % at monthly intervals | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | 1 (Ju) | 2 (Jly) | 3 (Aug) | 4 (Sep) | 5 (Oct) | 6 (Nov) |
| W. radish | Light | Undisturbed | 35.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 37.4 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Medium | Undisturbed | 37.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 50.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Heavy | Undisturbed | 54.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| | | Cultivated | 65.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| W. turnip | Light | Undisturbed | 2.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Medium | Undisturbed | 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 7.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Heavy | Undisturbed | 5.0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 3.8 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Ryegrass | Light | Undisturbed | 44.8 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 42.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |
| | Medium | Undisturbed | 53.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 47.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 |
| | Heavy | Undisturbed | 63.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 51.1 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Brome grass | Light | Undisturbed | 72.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 71.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Medium | Undisturbed | 84.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 79.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Heavy | Undisturbed | 93.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 83.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Doublegee | Light | Undisturbed | 6.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 9.0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Medium | Undisturbed | 16.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 15.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Heavy | Undisturbed | 19.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cultivated | 18.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

COMMENTS:

Data on seed survival will not be available until the end of the experiment. The extent to which emergence occurred during the period from sowing until mid-November of the same year is shown. Greatest emergence of all species occurred during the first month after sowing, in disturbed or undisturbed soil and irrespective of soil type. Generally, more seedlings emerged from heavier than from lighter soil. The relative emergence from cultivated compared with undisturbed soil varied. With some species, such as the docks and radish, there were more emergence in cultivated soil, whereas with ryegrass, brome grass, doublegee, pennyroyal and turnip, a less definite trend of emergence was seen. With ryegrass and brome grass, cultivation appeared to result in a slightly lower count. This could be attributed to the destruction of the newly germinated grasses that were still beneath the soil surface at the time of cultivation.

DATE OF PLANTING STUDY WITH SELECTED WEED SPECIES

EXPERIMENT: 82 PE 31

LOCATION: South Perth Experimental Farm

OBJECT: To establish the effects of sowing date on: (a) the time of emergence, (b) the time of flowering, and (c) the seed production potential for a range of weed species.

TREATMENTS:

Species included in the experiment were: Curled dock (A - 1982 collection), Fiddle dock (B - 1982 collection), Pennyroyal (C - 1981 collection), Wild radish (D - 1978 collection), Wild turnip (E - 1978 collection), Ryegrass (F - 1978 collection), Brome grass (G - 1978 collection) and Doublegee (H - 1982 collection). There were seven times of planting beginning on May 11, 1982 and continuing at three-weekly intervals until September 14, 1982. The sequential planting times were used to provide a range of environmental conditions during plant growth. The time of emergence, days from sowing to flowering, and final seed yield of the various species were recorded for all times of sowing.

RESULTS:

Table 1. Emergence % of weed seedlings from seeds planted at three weekly intervals at South Perth in 1982

| Species | Planting | Month after planting | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------|------|-----|-----|
| | | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Curled dock (A) | P ₁ (11.5.82) | 29.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 4.9 |
| | P ₂ (1.6.82) | 19.4 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 5.9 | 2.4 |
| | P ₃ (22.6.82) | 11.2 | 7.3 | 25.5 | 3.2 | 1.5 |
| | P ₄ (13.7.82) | 12.5 | 10.2 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 0.2 |
| | P ₅ (3.8.82) | 60.3 | 14.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0 |
| | P ₆ (24.8.82) | 48.3 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P ₇ (14.9.82) | 58.3 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Fiddle dock (B) | P ₁ | 25.9 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| | P ₂ | 23.3 | 8.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| | P ₃ | 0.5 | 23.4 | 8.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| | P ₄ | 4.0 | 19.9 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 0 |
| | P ₅ | 9.7 | 12.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0 |
| | P ₆ | 3.0 | 13.9 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | P ₇ | 24.0 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |

Table 1 continued ...

| Species | Planting | Month after planting | | | | |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Pennyroyal '81 (C) | P1 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| | P2 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| | P3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | P4 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Radish (D) | P1 | 83.0 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | P2 | 78.0 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 |
| | P3 | 38.9 | 12.0 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | P4 | 23.4 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| | P5 | 26.9 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | P6 | 29.0 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| | P7 | 11.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Turnip (E) | P1 | 34.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | P2 | 11.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 |
| | P3 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 |
| | P4 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P6 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P7 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ryegrass '78 (F) | P1 | 80.0 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | P2 | 74.0 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P3 | 68.0 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| | P4 | 66.3 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| | P5 | 72.7 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| | P6 | 72.3 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| | P7 | 73.2 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Brome grass (G) | P1 | 86.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P2 | 69.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.2 |
| | P3 | 81.3 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| | P4 | 82.7 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 |
| | P5 | 59.8 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| | P6 | 76.7 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| | P7 | 76.7 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |

Table 1 continued ...

| Species | Planting | Month after planting | | | | |
|---------------|----------|----------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Doublegee (H) | P1 | 33.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| | P2 | 27.4 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | P3 | 11.2 | 16.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| | P4 | 17.7 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| | P5 | 21.2 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | P6 | 19.9 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | P7 | 26.7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2. Days from sowing to flowering and seed production of various weed species planted at three weekly intervals at South Perth in 1982

| Species | Planting | Days from sowing to flowering | Seed/plant | (r) ^a / value |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Curled dock (A) | P ₁ (11.5.82) | - | - | |
| | P ₂ (1.6.82) | - | - | |
| | P ₃ (22.6.82) | - | - | |
| | P ₄ (13.7.82) | - | - | - |
| | P ₅ (3.8.82) | - | - | |
| | P ₆ (24.8.82) | - | - | |
| | P ₇ (14.9.82) | - | - | |
| Fiddle dock (B) | P ₁ | 168 | 174 | |
| | P ₂ | 168 | 0 | |
| | P ₃ | - | - | |
| | P ₄ | - | - | - |
| | P ₅ | - | - | |
| | P ₆ | - | - | |
| | P ₇ | - | - | |
| Pennyroyal (C) | P ₁ | 195 | 11 | |
| | P ₂ | 182 | 41 | |
| | P ₃ | 160 | 43 | r = |
| | P ₄ | 147 | 159 | - 0.85 |
| | P ₅ | - | - | |
| | P ₆ | - | - | |
| | P ₇ | - | - | |
| Radish (D) | P ₁ | 90 | 789 | |
| | P ₂ | 76 | 228 | |
| | P ₃ | 72 | 190 | |
| | P ₄ | 66 | 120 | r = 0.9** |
| | P ₅ | 60 | 44 | |
| | P ₆ | 56 | 31 | |
| | P ₇ | 49 | 8 | |
| Turnip (E) | P ₁ | 112 | 684 | |
| | P ₂ | 91 | 209 | |
| | P ₃ | 88 | 94 | |
| | P ₄ | 91 | 337 | r = 0.96** |
| | P ₅ | 77 | 0 | |
| | P ₆ | - | - | |
| | P ₇ | - | - | |

Table 2 continued ...

| Species | Planting | Days from sowing to flowering | Seed/plant | (r) ^{a/} value |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Ryegrass (F) | P ₁ | 105 | 381 | r = 0.99*** |
| | P ₂ | 89 | 189 | |
| | P ₃ | 85 | 139 | |
| | P ₄ | 78 | 95 | |
| | P ₅ | 72 | 51 | |
| | P ₆ | 67 | 14 | |
| | P ₇ | 66 | 1 | |
| Brome grass (G) | P ₁ | 133 | 348 | r = 0.98*** |
| | P ₂ | 115 | 181 | |
| | P ₃ | 103 | 144 | |
| | P ₄ | 91 | 83 | |
| | P ₅ | 84 | 23 | |
| | P ₆ | 87 | 7 | |
| | P ₇ | - | - | |
| Doublegee (H) | P ₁ | 90 | 74 | r = 0.23 |
| | P ₂ | 77 | 97 | |
| | P ₃ | 72 | 59 | |
| | P ₄ | 77 | 21 | |
| | P ₅ | 72 | 25 | |
| | P ₆ | 80 | 4 | |
| | P ₇ | - | - | |

a/ (r) values are the correlation coefficients for seed production values with days from sowing to flowering.

** significant ($P < 0.01$)

*** significant ($P < 0.001$)

COMMENTS:

Irrespective of the time of planting, most of the seedlings emerged within two weeks after sowing for all species, with the exception of the docks, pennyroyal and doublegee. In pennyroyal, no emergence occurred at all in later plantings presumably because of the lack of soil moisture. Considering the effect of planting dates on total emergence during the first two weeks after the respective planting, an interesting trend could be seen in some species. Curled dock for example, showed a somewhat higher percentage of emergence with later plantings whereas in radish the response was in reverse. One possible explanation could be the difference in the complexity of the dormancy mechanisms in the two species resulting in a difference in environmental requirements for germination and emergence.

Most of the plantings for radish, turnip, ryegrass, brome grass and doublegee were able to complete their respective life cycle under South Perth conditions. Days from sowing to flowering was affected by planting date in all species. There was a shorter duration with later plantings. Photoperiodicity was obviously one of the main determining factors. With radish for example, first planting took 90 days to reach flowering, whereas seventh planting took only 49 days. Seed production was inversely related to the time of planting in radish, turnip, ryegrass, brome grass and doublegee. Results for pennyroyal need further confirmation because the collected data were from limited number of plants. Seed production per plant was positively correlated with days from sowing to flowering for radish, turnip, ryegrass and brome grass. The longer vegetative stage obviously results in a greater food reserve which in turn contributes to a better seed production.

CHEMICAL CONTROL OF CALOTROPIS - OPEN INFESTATION

EXPERIMENT: 81 KU 2

LOCATION: Carlton Station, Kununurra

OBJECT: To assess the effectiveness of ethidimuron (Ustilan) and hexazinone (Velpar) at various rates, formulations and application methods for the control of Calotropis.

TREATMENTS:

Twenty two treatments were applied on December 3, 1981 to individual Calotropis plants in an open infestation. Each treatment was on ten plants per plot and treatments were in randomized complete blocks with two replications. Height of all treated plants was approximately two metres. The spot-gun was used when applying the liquid concentrate and the sub-surface application was by means of a spear-attachment. All chemicals were applied to the ground on the outer edge of the drip line, i.e. the vertical projection of the canopy. Assessment on per cent control was taken on July 28, 1982.

RESULTS:

| Herbicide | Rate (gm) ai/plant | Application method | Percent control |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Ustilan | 1 | Surface | 71 |
| 2. Ustilan | 1 | Subsurface | 82 |
| 3. Ustilan | 2 | Surface | 56 |
| 4. Ustilan | 2 | Subsurface | 79 |
| 5. Ustilan | 4 | Surface | 73 |
| 6. Ustilan | 4 | Subsurface | 65 |
| 7. Velpar liquid | 0.375 (1.5 ml) | Surface | 37 |
| 8. Velpar liquid | 0.375 (1.5 ml) | Subsurface | 31 |
| 9. Velpar liquid | 0.75 (3 ml) | Surface | 70 |
| 10. Velpar liquid | 0.75 (3 ml) | Subsurface | 75 |
| 11. Velpar liquid | 1.0 (4 ml) | Surface | 80 |
| 12. Velpar liquid | 1.0 (4 ml) | Subsurface | 62 |
| 13. Velpar liquid | 1.5 (6 ml) | Surface | 83 |
| 14. Velpar liquid | 1.5 (6 ml) | Subsurface | 78 |
| 15. Velpar liquid | 3.0 (12 ml) | Surface | 79 |
| 16. Velpar liquid | 3.0 (12 ml) | Subsurface | 79 |
| 17. Velpar granule | 0.75 | Surface | 47 |
| 18. Velpar granule | 1.5 | Surface | 44 |
| 19. Velpar grid ball | 0.375 (1 ball) | Surface | 68 |
| 20. Velpar grid ball | 0.75 (2 balls) | Surface | 48 |
| 21. Velpar grid ball | 1.5 (4 balls) | Surface | 94 |
| 22. Untreated control | - | - | 0 |

COMMENTS:

The most effective treatment was Velpar grid ball at the rate of four balls per tree. Velpar liquid applied at 4, 6 or 12 ml per tree gave acceptable control. Comparing sub-surface and surface applications of the Velpar liquid, the former did not appear to be more efficient. The action of Ustilan was rather erratic and the surrounding vegetation was badly affected by the chemical.

CHEMICAL CONTROL OF CALOTROPIS - DENSE INFESTATION

EXPERIMENT: 81 KU 3

LOCATION: Carlton Station, Kununurra

OBJECT: To assess the effectiveness of ethidimuron (Ustilan) and the various formulations of hexazinone (Velpar) applied at various rates, using a grid-pattern application for the control of a dense stand of Calotropis.

TREATMENTS:

The herbicide treatments were applied on December 4, 1981 to approximately uniform sized plants averaging 2 m in height in a dense infestation. At least ten plants per plot were treated and treatments were replicated twice. The spot-gun was used when applying the liquid concentrate and the sub-surface application was by means of a spear attachment. Assessment on per cent control was taken on July 29, 1982.

RESULTS:

| Herbicide | Grid spacing (m) | kg ai/ha | Percent control |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Ustilan | 1 | 15 | 100 |
| 2. Ustilan | 2 | 3.75 | 48 |
| 3. Ustilan | 3 | 1.66 | 19 |
| 4. Velpar liquid | 1 | 3.75 (1.5 ml/grid) | 99 |
| 5. Velpar liquid | 2 | 0.94 (1.5 ml/grid) | 19 |
| 6. Velpar liquid | 3 | 0.42 (1.5 ml/grid) | 29 |
| 7. Velpar granule | 1 | 3.75 | 75 |
| 8. Velpar granule | 2 | 0.94 | 34 |
| 9. Velpar granule | 3 | 0.42 | 34 |
| 10. Velpar grid ball | 1 | 3.75 (1 ball/grid) | 31 |
| 11. Velpar grid ball | 2 | 0.94 (1 ball/grid) | 25 |
| 12. Velpar grid ball | 3 | 0.42 (1 ball/grid) | 5 |
| 13. Untreated control | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 14. Untreated control | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 15. Untreated control | 3 | 0 | 0 |

COMMENTS:

The most effective treatments were Ustilan (15 kg ai/ha) and Velpar liquid (3.75 kg ai/ha). The use of Ustilan at this very high rate is not recommended because its persistence in the soil affects trees and pasture species. Surprisingly, the Velpar liquid application did not cause serious damage to the surrounding vegetation.

CHEMICAL CONTROL OF PARKINSONIA - OPEN INFESTATION

EXPERIMENT: 81 PH 2

LOCATION: Degrey Station, Port Hedland

OBJECT: To assess the effectiveness of ethidimuron (Ustilan) and hexazinone (Velpar) at various rates, formulations and application methods for the control of Parkinsonia.

TREATMENTS:

The herbicide treatments were applied on December 16, 1981 to individual Parkinsonia plants in an open infestation. Height of treated plants was about two metres. At least ten plants per plot were treated and treatments were replicated twice. The spot gun was used when applying the liquid concentrate and the subsurface application was by means of a spear attachment. All chemicals were applied to the ground on the outer edge of the drip line, i.e. the vertical projection of the canopy. Assessment on per cent control was then taken on August 2, 1982.

RESULTS:

| Herbicide | Rate (gm) ai/plant | Application method | Percent control |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Ustilan | 1.0 | Surface | 0 |
| 2. Ustilan | 2.0 | Surface | 5 |
| 3. Ustilan | 4.0 | Surface | 5 |
| 4. Velpar liquid | 0.5 (2 ml/plant) | Surface | 10 |
| 5. Velpar liquid | 0.5 (2 ml/plant) | Subsurface | 15 |
| 6. Velpar liquid | 1.0 (4 ml/plant) | Surface | 35 |
| 7. Velpar liquid | 1.0 (4 ml/plant) | Subsurface | 55 |
| 8. Velpar liquid | 1.5 (6 ml/plant) | Surface | 88 |
| 9. Velpar liquid | 1.5 (6 ml/plant) | Subsurface | 90 |
| 10. Velpar liquid | 3.0 (12 ml/plant) | Surface | 100 |
| 11. Velpar liquid | 3.0 (12 ml/plant) | Subsurface | 100 |
| 12. Velpar granule | 0.75 | Surface | 0 |
| 13. Velpar granule | 1.5 | Surface | 60 |
| 14. Velpar grid ball | 0.375 (1 ball/plant) | Surface | 5 |
| 15. Velpar grid ball | 0.75 (2 balls/plant) | Surface | 0 |
| 16. Velpar grid ball | 1.5 (4 balls/plant) | Surface | 100 |
| 17. Untreated control | - | | |

COMMENTS:

All plants treated with four Velpar grid balls or 12 ml velpar liquid concentrate applied as a surface or sub-surface treatment were completely dead at the time of assessment. Velpar liquid concentrate applied at 6 ml per plant also gave excellent control. The rest of the treatments were ineffective.

CHEMICAL CONTROL OF PARKINSONIA - DENSE INFESTATION

EXPERIMENT: 81 PH 3

LOCATION: Degrey Station, Port Hedland

OBJECT: To assess the effectiveness of ethidimuron (Ustilan) and the various formulations of hexazinone (Velpar) applied at various rates, using a grid-pattern application for the control of a dense stand of Parkinsonia.

TREATMENTS:

The herbicide treatments were applied on December 17,, 1981 to approximately uniform sized plants averaging 3 m in height in a dense infestation. At least ten plants per plot were treated and treatments were replicated twice. The spot-gun was used when applying the liquid concentrate and the sub-surface application was by means of a spear attachment. Assessment on per cent control was taken on August 3, 1982.

RESULTS:

| Herbicide | Grid spacing (m) | kg ai/ha | Percent control |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Ustilan | 1 | 15 | 73 |
| 2. Ustilan | 2 | 3.75 | 0 |
| 3. Ustilan | 3 | 1.66 | 0 |
| 4. Velpar liquid | 1 | 3.75 (1.5 ml/grid) | 100 |
| 5. Velpar liquid | 2 | 0.94 (1.5 ml/grid) | 55 |
| 6. Velpar liquid | 3 | 0.42 (1.5 ml/grid) | 0 |
| 7. Velpar granule | 1 | 3.75 | 78 |
| 8. Velpar granule | 2 | 0.94 | 25 |
| 9. Velpar granule | 3 | 0.42 | 25 |
| 10. Velpar grid ball | 1 | 3.75 (1 ball/grid) | 98 |
| 11. Velpar grid ball | 2 | 0.94 (1 ball/grid) | 4 |
| 12. Velpar grid ball | 3 | 0.42 (1 ball/grid) | 0 |
| 13. Untreated control | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 14. Untreated control | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 15. Untreated control | 3 | 0 | 0 |

COMMENTS:

The most effective treatments were Velpar liquid and Velpar grid ball when applied at the rate of 3.75 kg ai/ha. Velpar granule was less effective at the same rate of application.

CALOTROPIS/BUFFEL GRASS INTERACTIONS

In an attempt to explain why in the East Kimberley in areas devoid of buffel grass (Cenchrus ciliaris) the invasion by Calotropis is not uncommon, I have suggested that the observed relationship might be due to phytotoxic substance(s) produced by living roots of buffel grass. Experiments have been designed and are currently in progress to test the validity of my suggestion. From the experiments, it is hoped that two important points can be demonstrated.

- i) That an effective inhibitory chemical is being produced and occurs at a potentially effective concentration in the soil, and
- ii) That the inhibition is not an effect of plant competition for light, water and nutrients.